arXiv:1409.5360v1 [math.NA] 18 Sep 2014

PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 00, Number 0, Pages 000–000
S 0002-9939(XX)0000-0

DECOMPOSITION OF TENSORS

JUAN–MANUEL PEÑA* AND TOMAS SAUER

(Communicated by)

Abstract. We consider representations of tensors as sums of decomposable tensors or, equivalently, decomposition of multilinear forms into one–forms. In this short note we show that there exists a particular finite strongly orthogonal decomposition which is essentially unique and yields all critical points of the multilinear form on the torus. In particular, this determines exactly the number of critical points of the multilinear form, giving an affirmative answer to a finiteness conjecture by Friedland.

1. Introduction

A real *p–tensor* $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \cdots \times n_p}$, $n_1, \ldots, n_p \in \mathbb{N}$, is a multidimensional array defined by coefficients

$$a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \alpha \le \nu := (n_1, \ldots, n_p). \tag{1.1}$$

Following our previous work [4], we find it most convenient to view the tensor $\boldsymbol{A}$ as a *multilinear form* $\boldsymbol{A} : \mathbb{R}^\nu \to \mathbb{R}$, defined as

$$\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{u}] := \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{u}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_p] = \sum_{\alpha \le \nu} a_\alpha \, u_{1,\alpha_1} \cdots u_{p,\alpha_p}, \qquad \boldsymbol{u} = (\boldsymbol{u}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_p) \in \mathbb{R}^\nu,$$

where $\mathbb{R}^\nu$ is a structured version of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n := n_1 \cdots n_p$.

A *decomposable tensor* or *one–form* $\boldsymbol{W} = \boldsymbol{w}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{w}_p$, $\boldsymbol{w}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$, is the particular multilinear form given by

$$w_\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^{p} w_{j,\alpha_j}, \qquad \alpha \le \nu.$$

We will use the scalar product

$$\langle \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B} \rangle := \sum_{\alpha \le \nu} a_\alpha \, b_\alpha$$

which has the well–known useful property that

$$\langle \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{w}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{w}_p \rangle = \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{w}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{w}_p]. \tag{1.2}$$

A multivector $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ is called *critical* for a multilinear form $\boldsymbol{A}$ if there exists some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{u}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_{j-1}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}_{j+1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_p] = \lambda \, \boldsymbol{u}_j^T \boldsymbol{u}, \qquad \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}. \tag{1.3}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A69.

*Partially supported by the Spanish Research Grant MTM2012-31544 and by the Gobierno de Aragón.

©XXXX American Mathematical Society

Any location where the multilinear form $\boldsymbol{A}$ has a extremum on $\mathbb{T}^\nu := \{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\boldsymbol{u}_j\|_2 = 1,\, j = 1,\dots,p\}$ has to be a critical point as follows by considering the Lagrange multipliers for the restricted optimization problem

$$\max\{\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{u}] : \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{T}^\nu\}.$$

Two multivectors $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ are called *orthogonal* if the associated one–forms are orthogonal, i.e., if

$$0 = \langle \boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{V}\rangle := \langle \boldsymbol{u}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{u}_p, \boldsymbol{v}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{v}_p\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^{p} \boldsymbol{u}_j^T \boldsymbol{v}_j. \tag{1.4}$$

Throughout this paper we will consistently use the notation $\boldsymbol{u} = (\boldsymbol{u}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{u}_p)$ for the multivector in $\mathbb{R}^\nu$ and $\boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{u}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{u}_p$ for the one–form generated by $\boldsymbol{u}$. $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ will be called *strongly orthogonal*, see [3, 5], if

$$\langle \boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{V}\rangle = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \boldsymbol{u}_j^T \boldsymbol{v}_j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, p. \tag{1.5}$$

We will denote this relationship by $\boldsymbol{u} \perp \boldsymbol{v}$. Vectors $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_p) \in \{-1, 1\}^p$ are called *sign distributions*. A sign distribution is called *even* if $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_p = 1$ and *odd* if $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_p = -1$. It is worthwhile to recall the well–known fact that one–forms and multilinear forms are only defined up to even sign distributions:

$$\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{u}] = \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon u}] = \boldsymbol{A}[\epsilon_1 \boldsymbol{u}_1, \dots, \epsilon_p \boldsymbol{u}_p], \qquad \boldsymbol{w}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{w}_p = (\epsilon_1 \boldsymbol{w}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\epsilon_p \boldsymbol{w}_p).$$

This ambiguity of multilinearity will show up later.

2. Decompositions

Our goal is to study decompositions of a multilinear form $\boldsymbol{A}$ into a sum of normalized one–forms, that is,

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_k\, \boldsymbol{w}_1^k \otimes \cdots \otimes \boldsymbol{w}_p^k = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_k\, \boldsymbol{W}_k, \qquad \sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where we always will request that the components of the one–forms are normalized, that is

$$\|\boldsymbol{w}_j^k\| = 1, \qquad k = 1, \dots, r,\, j = 1, \dots, r. \tag{2.2}$$

The nonnegativity of the σ_k requested in (2.1) can always be ensured by applying an odd sign distribution An *orthogonal decomposition* is a decomposition of the form (2.1) where $\langle \boldsymbol{W}_j, \boldsymbol{W}_k\rangle = 0$, $0 \leq j < k \leq r$, while a *strongly orthogonal decomposition* (SOD) has to satisfy

$$\boldsymbol{w}^j \perp \boldsymbol{w}^k, \quad 0 \leq j < k \leq r, \qquad \boldsymbol{w}^k := (\boldsymbol{w}_1^k, \dots, \boldsymbol{w}_p^k). \tag{2.3}$$

SODs can be constructed very easily and in various ways. To that end, let $\boldsymbol{Q}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j \times n_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, p$, be orthogonal matrices and define the n strongly orthogonal vectors

$$\boldsymbol{w}_\alpha = \left(\boldsymbol{Q}_1 \boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{Q}_p \boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha_p}\right), \qquad \alpha \leq \nu.$$

Then

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{\alpha \leq \nu} \langle \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{W}_\alpha\rangle\, \boldsymbol{W}_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \leq \nu} \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{w}_\alpha]\, \boldsymbol{W}_\alpha$$

is an SOD after modifying some of the $\boldsymbol{w}_\alpha$ by means of an odd sign distribution of $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{w}_\alpha] < 0$ and arranging the sum with respect to the size of $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{w}_\alpha]$. Of course,

the number r of nonzero terms, though smaller than n, depends on the chosen basis matrices $\boldsymbol{Q}_j$.

Conversely, any SOD (2.1) defines orthogonal matrices. Indeed, since the $\boldsymbol{w}_j^k$ either coincide up to sign or are orthogonal, the set $\{\boldsymbol{w}_j^k : k = 1, \dots, r\}$ contains at most n_j orthonormal elements up to sign. Completing it to an orthonormal basis if necessary and arranging these vectors as columns of an orthogonal matrix $\boldsymbol{Q}_j$, we have that there exist numbers $\alpha_j(k)$ such that $\boldsymbol{w}_j^k = \pm \boldsymbol{Q}_j \boldsymbol{e}_{\alpha_j(k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, r$. Hence, in the above notation, $\boldsymbol{w}^k = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_k \boldsymbol{w}_{\alpha(k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, r$, where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_k$ is an even sign distribution. In other words, any SOD can be completed to a strongly orthogonal basis of all multilinear forms.

As mentioned before, the number of nonzero terms in a SOD depends on the choice of the $\boldsymbol{Q}_j$ and is bounded from above by n. The optimal SODs, of course, would be those with a minimal number of terms and this number describes the complexity of the multilinear form.

Definition 2.1. The minimal number r such that $\boldsymbol{A}$ has a strongly orthogonal decomposition with r terms is called the (strong) *rank* of $\boldsymbol{A}$, denoted as $r(\boldsymbol{A})$.

A particular way to obtain a strongly orthogonal decomposition is by means of the *greedy strongly orthogonal decomposition* (GSOD) that computes an SOD in the following way:

(1) Compute σ_1 and $\boldsymbol{w}_1$ as

$$\sigma_1 = \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{w}^1] = \max \{\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{u}] : \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{T}^\nu\}. \tag{2.4}$$

(2) For $k = 1, 2, \dots$ compute

$$\sigma_{k+1} = \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{w}^{k+1}] = \max \left\{\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{u}] : \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{T}^\nu, \boldsymbol{u} \perp \boldsymbol{w}^j,\ j = 1, \dots, k\right\} \tag{2.5}$$

until $\sigma_{k+1} = 0$.

Even if this is not so easy to do computationally and quite tricky from a numerical point of view, see e.g. [2, 3, 6], it serves its purpose as a theoretical tool. Since the above process terminates after at most n steps, there always exists a GSOD for any multilinear form $\boldsymbol{A}$.

As shown in [4], critical points and SODs interact.

Theorem 2.2 ([4], Theorem 20)**.** *If* (2.1) *is an SOD of* $\boldsymbol{A}$ *and* $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ *is a critical point for* $\boldsymbol{A}$*, then* $\boldsymbol{U} \in \operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{W}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{W}_r\}$*, i.e.*

$$\boldsymbol{U} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \langle \boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{W}_k \rangle \, \boldsymbol{W}_k. \tag{2.6}$$

We also recall a characterization of critical points from [4] which we will reprove for the sake of completeness. To that end we define the gradient components

$$\boldsymbol{z}_j = \boldsymbol{z}_j(\boldsymbol{u}) := \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{u}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{u}_{j-1}, \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \boldsymbol{u}_{j+1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{u}_p] \, \boldsymbol{e}_\ell, \qquad j = 1, \dots, p,$$

so that $\boldsymbol{u}$ is critical iff $\boldsymbol{u} = \sigma \boldsymbol{z}$ for some $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. Substituting (2.1), we get that

$$\boldsymbol{z}_j = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_k \left(\prod_{\ell \neq j} \boldsymbol{u}_\ell^T \boldsymbol{w}_\ell^k \right) \boldsymbol{w}_j^k, \tag{2.7}$$

from which we can derive the following reformulation of another result from [4].

Lemma 2.3 ([4],Proposition 21)**.** *A component* $\boldsymbol{w}^k$ *of an SOD* (2.1) *for* $\boldsymbol{A}$ *is critical for* $\boldsymbol{A}$ *if and only if*

$$\{\boldsymbol{w}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{w}^r\} \cap \left\{(\boldsymbol{v}_1,\pm\boldsymbol{w}_2^k,\dots,\pm\boldsymbol{w}_p^k),\dots,(\pm\boldsymbol{w}_1^k,\dots,\pm\boldsymbol{w}_{p-1}^k,\boldsymbol{v}_p):\ \begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{v}_j^T\boldsymbol{w}_j^k=0\\ k=1,\dots,r\end{array}\right\}=\emptyset.$$

In other words, $\boldsymbol{w}^k$ is critical if and only if no strongly orthogonal $\boldsymbol{w}\perp\boldsymbol{w}^k$ can be found among $\boldsymbol{w}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{w}^r$ that coincides with $\boldsymbol{w}^k$ in $p-1$ components up to sign. We give the simple proof of the lemma for the sake of completeness.

Proof. By (2.7), the identity $\boldsymbol{z}_j=\sigma\boldsymbol{w}_j^k$ is equivalent to

$$\sigma\boldsymbol{w}_j^k=\sigma_k\boldsymbol{w}_j^k+\sum_{\{\ell:\boldsymbol{w}_i^\ell=\pm\boldsymbol{w}_i^k,\,i\neq j\}}\sigma_\ell\epsilon_\ell\,\boldsymbol{w}_j^\ell,\qquad \epsilon_\ell=\prod_{i\neq j}(\boldsymbol{w}_i^\ell)^T\boldsymbol{w}_i^k\in\{-1,1\},$$

and since $\boldsymbol{w}^\ell\perp\boldsymbol{w}^k$ for any ℓ in the sum on the right hand side, this sum is orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{w}_j^k$ and thus vanishes if and only if $\boldsymbol{w}^k$ is critical. □

Applying Lemma 2.3 to (2.1) we get obtain the following reformulation of the lemma.

Corollary 2.4. *A component* $\boldsymbol{w}_k$ *of an SOD* (2.1) *for* $\boldsymbol{A}$ *is critical for* $\boldsymbol{A}$ *if and only if*

$$\boldsymbol{A}\left[\boldsymbol{w}_1^k,\dots,\boldsymbol{w}_{j-1}^k,\boldsymbol{w},\boldsymbol{w}_{j+1}^k,\dots,\boldsymbol{w}_p^k\right]=0,\qquad \boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{w}_j^k=0,\quad j=1,\dots,p. \tag{2.8}$$

3. The GSOD and critical points

In this section, we collect some properties of the GSOD. The most crucial one is given in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Any component of a GSOD* (2.1) *of* $\boldsymbol{A}$ *is critical for* $\boldsymbol{A}$*.*

Proof. We will show by induction on k that $\boldsymbol{w}^1,\dots,\boldsymbol{w}^k$ are critical, which is obvious for $k=1$ as $\boldsymbol{w}^1$ is the location of a global maximum of $\boldsymbol{A}$ on $\mathbb{T}^\nu$ and thus clearly critical.

Suppose now that the result has been proved for some $k\geq 1$ and that σ_{k+1} as defined in (2.5) is positive. We first note that the optimization problem can be written as

$$\max \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{u}],\quad \left\{\begin{array}{rcll} 0 &=& \prod_{j=1}^{p}(\boldsymbol{u}_j^T\boldsymbol{w}_j^\ell)\left((\boldsymbol{u}_j^T\boldsymbol{w}_j^\ell)^2-1\right), & j=1,\dots,p,\ \ell=1,\dots,k,\\ 0 &=& \prod_{j=1}^{p}\boldsymbol{u}_j^T\boldsymbol{w}_j^\ell, & \ell=1,\dots,k,\\ 0 &=& \boldsymbol{u}_j^T\boldsymbol{u}_j-1, & j=1,\dots,p.\end{array}\right. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{A}}{\partial\boldsymbol{u}_j}(\boldsymbol{u})=\boldsymbol{z}_j(\boldsymbol{u})$, $j=1,\dots,p$, there must exist Lagrange multipliers $\lambda_{j\ell}$, λ_ℓ and μ_j, $\ell=1,\dots,k$, $j=1,\dots,p$, such that

$$\boldsymbol{z}_j(\boldsymbol{w}^{k+1})=\sum_{\ell=1}^{k}\left(\lambda_{j\ell}\left(3\left((\boldsymbol{w}_j^\ell)^T\boldsymbol{w}_j^{k+1}\right)^2-1\right)+\lambda_\ell\prod_{i\neq j}(\boldsymbol{w}_i^\ell)^T\boldsymbol{w}_i^{k+1}\right)\boldsymbol{w}_j^\ell+2\mu_j\boldsymbol{w}_j^{k+1} \tag{3.2}$$

holds for $j = 1, \ldots, p$. Comparing this with (2.7), it follows that

$$\boldsymbol{z}_j(\boldsymbol{w}^{k+1}) = \sigma_{k+1}\boldsymbol{w}_j^{k+1} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \sigma_\ell \theta_\ell \boldsymbol{w}_j^\ell, \qquad \theta_\ell := \prod_{i \neq j} (\boldsymbol{w}_i^\ell)\boldsymbol{w}_i^{k+1}. \tag{3.3}$$

If $\theta_\ell \neq 0$ for some $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, we get $\boldsymbol{w}_i^{k+1} = \epsilon_i \boldsymbol{w}_i^\ell$, $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, $i \neq j$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \sigma_{k+1} &= \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{w}^{k+1}] = \boldsymbol{A}\left[\boldsymbol{w}_1^{k+1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{w}_{j-1}^{k+1}, \boldsymbol{w}_j^{k+1}, \boldsymbol{w}_{j+1}^{k+1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{w}_p^{k+1}\right] \\ &= \boldsymbol{A}\left[\epsilon_1 \boldsymbol{w}_1^\ell, \ldots, \epsilon_{j-1} \boldsymbol{w}_{j-1}^\ell, \boldsymbol{w}_j^{k+1}, \epsilon_{j+1} \boldsymbol{w}_{j+1}^\ell, \ldots, \epsilon_p \boldsymbol{w}_p^\ell\right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 2.4. Since this is a contradiction, it follows that $\theta_\ell = 0$, $\ell = 1, \ldots, k$, hence $\boldsymbol{z}_j(\boldsymbol{w}^{k+1}) = \sigma_{k+1}\boldsymbol{w}_j^{k+1}$. In other words, $\boldsymbol{w}^{k+1}$ is critical, too, thus advancing the induction hypothesis and completing the proof. □

Corollary 3.2. *Any GOSD* (2.1) *of* $\boldsymbol{A}$ *is* minimal, *i.e.,* $r = r(\boldsymbol{A})$. *In particular, the GOSD can be used to compute* $r(\boldsymbol{A})$.

Proof. Let

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{k=1}^{r(\boldsymbol{A})} \sigma_k^* \boldsymbol{W}_k^*$$

be any minimal SOD. Since any of the strongly orthogonal, hence linearly independent components $\boldsymbol{W}_\ell$, $\ell = 1, \ldots, r$ of a GOSD is contained in $\operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{W}_k^* : k \in r(A)\}$ by Theorem 2.2, it follows that $r(\boldsymbol{A}) \geq r$ while minimality yields $r(\boldsymbol{A}) \leq r$, hence $r = r(\boldsymbol{A})$. □

Definition 3.3. A decomposition (2.1) is called *critical* if all its components are critical for $\boldsymbol{A}$.

Note that a GSOD is critical by Lemma 3.1. We next show that critical SODs can only generate a very limited number of one–forms.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that* (2.1) *is a critical SOD of* $\boldsymbol{A}$ *and that* $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{T}^\nu$ *is such that* $\boldsymbol{U} \in \operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{W}_k : k = 1, \ldots, r\}$. *Then there exist* $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ *and an even sign distribution* $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ *such that* $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{w}^k$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$0 \neq \boldsymbol{U} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} c_k \boldsymbol{W}_k, \qquad c_k \in \mathbb{R},$$

and let $k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ be such that $c_k \neq 0$. Then

$$0 \neq c_k = \langle \boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{W}_k \rangle = \boldsymbol{U}[\boldsymbol{w}_k] = \prod_{j=1}^{p} \boldsymbol{u}_j^T \boldsymbol{w}_j^k,$$

which implies that $\boldsymbol{u}_j^T \boldsymbol{w}_j^k \neq 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, p$. For $j \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ and any

$$\boldsymbol{v} \in \{\boldsymbol{w}_j^\ell : \ell \neq j\} \cup \{\boldsymbol{w}_j^\ell : \ell = 1, \ldots, r\}^\perp, \qquad \boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{w}_j^k = 0, \qquad \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_2 = 1,$$

the vector

$$\boldsymbol{w} = \left(\boldsymbol{w}_1^k, \ldots, \boldsymbol{w}_{j-1}^k, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}_{j+1}^k, \ldots, \boldsymbol{w}_p^k\right)$$

cannot belong to $\{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\ell \boldsymbol{w}^\ell : \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\ell \in \{-1,1\}^p, \ell = 1,\dots,r\}$ since $\boldsymbol{w}^k$ is critical, see Lemma 2.3. Hence, $\langle \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{W}_\ell \rangle = 0$, $\ell = 1,\dots,r$, and therefore

$$0 \;=\; \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} c_\ell \langle \boldsymbol{W}_\ell, \boldsymbol{W} \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{U}, \boldsymbol{W} \rangle = \boldsymbol{U}[\boldsymbol{w}] = \prod_{\ell=1}^{p} \boldsymbol{u}_\ell^T \boldsymbol{w}_\ell = \boldsymbol{u}_j^T \boldsymbol{v} \prod_{\ell \neq j} \boldsymbol{u}_\ell^T \boldsymbol{w}_\ell^k,$$

which implies that $\boldsymbol{u}_j^T \boldsymbol{v} = 0$ whenever $\boldsymbol{v}^T \boldsymbol{w}_j^k = 0$. Since $\|\boldsymbol{u}_j\|_2 = \|\boldsymbol{w}_j^k\|_2 = 1$ this implies that $\boldsymbol{u}_j = \pm \boldsymbol{w}_j^k$ and proves the claim. □

This result has some immediate consequences. First, we can now speak of *the* GSOD.

Corollary 3.5. *The GSOD is unique up to reordering and even sign distributions.*

Proof. Let

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_k \boldsymbol{W}_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\widehat{r}} \widehat{\sigma}_k \widehat{\boldsymbol{W}}_k$$

be two GSODs for $\boldsymbol{A}$. Then $r = \widehat{r} = r(\boldsymbol{A})$ by Corollary 3.2 and since for $k = 1,\dots,r$ the multivector $\boldsymbol{w}^k$ is critical for $\boldsymbol{A}$ by Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.4 yields that $\boldsymbol{w}^k = \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \widehat{\boldsymbol{w}}^\ell$ for some even $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \{-1,1\}$ and $\ell \in \{1,\dots,r\}$. After modifying the $\widehat{\boldsymbol{W}}_k$ by these sign distributions, we thus have that $\{\boldsymbol{W}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{W}_r\} \subseteq \{\widehat{\boldsymbol{W}}_1,\dots,\widehat{\boldsymbol{W}}_r\}$ and by symmetry the same argument yields also the inverse inclusion and proves the claim. □

Corollary 3.6. *If (2.1) is a GSOD of $\boldsymbol{A}$, then the set of all best rank–one approximation of $\boldsymbol{A}$ consist, up to even sign distributions, exactly of the one–forms generated by $\{\sigma_1 \boldsymbol{W}_k : \sigma_k = \sigma_1\}$.*

Proof. If $\sigma \boldsymbol{W}$ is a best rank–one approximation, then $\boldsymbol{w}$ must be critical, hence there must be $k \in \{1,\dots,r\}$ and an even sign distribution $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ such that $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{w}^k$. Since then $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{w}] = \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{w}^k] = \sigma_k$, this is maximal if and only if $\sigma_k = \sigma_1 = \max\{\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{u}] : \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{T}^\nu\}$. Conversely, we have for any sign distribution $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ and any k with $\sigma_k = \sigma_1$ that $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{w}^k] = \sigma_1$. □

Corollary 3.7. *The best rank–one approximation is unique if and only if $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2$ in the GSOD (2.1).*

Remark 3.8. If, as in (2.1), we require the *singular values* $\sigma_k = \boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{w}^k]$ to be arranged in descending order, the rearrangement will only take place when two or more of these singular values coincide like in the simple example

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{w} \otimes \boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{w} \otimes \boldsymbol{v} \otimes \boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{w} \otimes \boldsymbol{w} \otimes \boldsymbol{v}, \qquad \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2,\ \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{v} = 0,$$

of a critical SOD in $\mathbb{R}^{(2,2,2)}$ from [4]. Obviously, each of the four summands is a best rank–one approximation.

The next observation confirms a conjecture by Friedland [1], mentioned in [2].

Corollary 3.9. *A multilinear form $\boldsymbol{A}$ has exactly $2^p\, r(\boldsymbol{A})$ critical points.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.4 a point $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{T}^\nu$ is critical if and only if there exist some $k \in \{1,\dots,r\}$ and a sign distribution $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \{-1,1\}^p$ such that $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{w}^k$ which gives exactly $2^p r(\boldsymbol{A})$ critical points. □

Remark 3.10. Friedland's conjecture is originally concerned about the number of fixpoints of a certain map, but since there is a one-to-one relationship between these fixpoints and the critical points of a multilinear function, Corollary 3.9 can be applied.

Remark 3.11. Since $\boldsymbol{A}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon w}^k] = \pm\sigma_k$ half of the critical points belong to maxima computed in the process of determining the GSOD, the other half locates the respective minima.

References

1. S. Friedland, *Best rank one approximation of real symmetric tensors can be chosen symmetric*, Front. Math. China **8** (2013), 19–40.
2. S. Friedland, V. Mehrmann, R. Pajarola, and S. K. Suter, *On the best rank one approximation of tensors*, Numer. Linear Algebra Appl. **20** (2013), 942–955.
3. T. G. Kolda, *Orthogonal tensor decompositions*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **23** (2001), 243–255.
4. F. Lamping, J. M. Peña, and T. Sauer, *Kronecker products and multilinear forms*, submitted for publication.
5. D. Leibovici and R. Sabatier, *A singular value decomposition of a k-way array for a principal component analysis of multiway data, PTA-k*, Lin. Alg. Appl. **269** (1998), 307–329.
6. T. Zhang and G. H. Golub, *Rank-one approximation to high order tensors*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **23** (2001), 534–550.

Depto. Matemática Aplicada, Fac. Ciencias, Universidad de Zaragoza, E–50009 Zaragoza, SPAIN.

E-mail address: jmpena@unizar.es

Lehrstuhl Mathematik mit Schwerpunkt Digitale Bildverarbeitung, Universität Passau, Innstr. 43, 94032 Passau, GERMANY

E-mail address: Tomas.Sauer@uni-passau.de